

RESEARCH ARTICLE | SEPTEMBER 01 2023

# On a fractional Sturm-Liouville problem in higher dimensions

N. Vieira; M. M. Rodrigues; M. Ferreira 



AIP Conference Proceedings 2849, 380003 (2023)

<https://doi.org/10.1063/5.0163478>



CrossMark

## Articles You May Be Interested In

The discontinuous conical bore as a Sturm–Liouville problem

*J Acoust Soc Am* (October 1996)

Sturm–Liouville eigenproblems with an interior pole

*J. Math. Phys.* (August 1981)

Conjecture on the interlacing of zeros in complex Sturm–Liouville problems

*J. Math. Phys.* (September 2000)

## AIP Advances

Why Publish With Us?



**25 DAYS**  
average time  
to 1st decision



**740+ DOWNLOADS**  
average per article



**INCLUSIVE**  
scope

[Learn More](#)

# On a Fractional Sturm-Liouville Problem in Higher Dimensions

N. Vieira,<sup>1, a)</sup> M.M. Rodrigues,<sup>1, b)</sup> and M. Ferreira<sup>2, 3, c)</sup>

<sup>1)</sup>CIDMA - Center for Research and Development in Mathematics and Applications, Department of Mathematics - University of Aveiro, Campus Universitário de Santiago, 3810-193 Aveiro, Portugal.

<sup>2)</sup>School of Technology and Management - Polytechnic of Leiria, Campus 2 Morro do Lena – Alto do Vieiro, P-2411-901 Leiria, Portugal

<sup>3)</sup>CIDMA - Center for Research and Development in Mathematics and Applications, University of Aveiro, Aveiro, Portugal

<sup>a)</sup>Electronic mail: nloureirovieira@gmail.com

<sup>b)</sup>Electronic mail: mrodrigues@ua.pt

<sup>c)</sup>Corresponding author: milton.ferreira@ipleiria.pt

**Abstract** In this short paper, we consider an  $n$ -dimensional fractional Sturm-Liouville eigenvalue problem, by using fractional versions of the gradient operator involving left Caputo and right Riemann-Liouville fractional derivatives. We study the main properties of the eigenfunctions and the eigenvalues of the associated fractional boundary problem.

## INTRODUCTION

In recent years, many mathematicians directed their attention to some generalizations of the Sturm-Liouville problem in connection with other fields of Mathematics. One of the most important reasons for this emerging interest is the fact that the orthogonal eigenfunctions' system of the fractional Sturm-Liouville problem can be used to solve fractional partial differential equations that are related with anomalous diffusion processes (see [2, 3] and references therein indicated). We consider the  $n$ -dimensional fractional Sturm-Liouville eigenvalue problem, by using fractional versions of the gradient operator involving left Caputo and right Riemann-Liouville fractional derivatives. We study the main properties of the eigenfunctions and the eigenvalues of the associated fractional boundary problem. More precisely, we show that the eigenfunctions are orthogonal and the eigenvalues are real and simple.

## PRELIMINARIES

Let  $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$  with  $a < b$  and  $\alpha > 0$ . The left and right Riemann-Liouville fractional integrals  $I_{a+}^{\alpha}$  and  $I_{b-}^{\alpha}$  of order  $\alpha$  are given by (see [1])

$$(I_{a+}^{\alpha} f)(x) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_a^x \frac{f(t)}{(x-t)^{1-\alpha}} dt, \quad x > a \quad (I_{b-}^{\alpha} f)(x) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_x^b \frac{f(t)}{(t-x)^{1-\alpha}} dt, \quad x < b. \quad (1)$$

By  ${}^{RL}D_{a+}^{\alpha}$  and  ${}^{RL}D_{b-}^{\alpha}$  we denote the left and right Riemann-Liouville fractional derivatives of order  $\alpha > 0$  on  $[a, b] \subset \mathbb{R}$ , which are defined by (see [1])

$$({}^{RL}D_{a+}^{\alpha} f)(x) = (D^m I_{a+}^{m-\alpha} f)(x) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(m-\alpha)} \frac{d^m}{dx^m} \int_a^x \frac{f(t)}{(x-t)^{\alpha-m+1}} dt, \quad x > a \quad (2)$$

$$({}^{RL}D_{b-}^{\alpha} f)(x) = (-1)^m (D^m I_{b-}^{m-\alpha} f)(x) = \frac{(-1)^m}{\Gamma(m-\alpha)} \frac{d^m}{dx^m} \int_x^b \frac{f(t)}{(t-x)^{\alpha-m+1}} dt, \quad x < b. \quad (3)$$

Here,  $m = [\alpha] + 1$  and  $[\alpha]$  means the integer part of  $\alpha$ . Let  ${}^CD_{a+}^{\alpha}$  be the left Caputo fractional derivative of order  $\alpha > 0$  on  $[a, b] \subset \mathbb{R}$ , which is defined by (see [1])

$$({}^CD_{a+}^{\alpha} f)(x) = (I_{a+}^{m-\alpha} D^m f)(x) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(m-\alpha)} \int_a^x \frac{f^{(m)}(t)}{(x-t)^{\alpha-m+1}} dt, \quad x > a. \quad (4)$$

We denote by  $I_{a+}^{\alpha}(L_p)$ , with  $p \geq 1$ , the class of functions  $f$  that are represented by the fractional integral (1) of a summable function, that is  $f = I_{a+}^{\alpha} \phi$ , with  $\phi \in L_p(a, b)$ . A description of the space  $I_{a+}^{\alpha}(L_1)$  is given in [4].

**Theorem 1** (cf. [4]) A function  $f$  belongs to  $I_{a^+}^\alpha(L_1)$ , with  $\alpha > 0$ , if and only if  $I_{a^+}^{m-\alpha}f$  belongs to  $AC^m([a, b])$ ,  $m = [\alpha] + 1$  and  $(I_{a^+}^{m-\alpha}f)^{(k)}(a) = 0, k = 0, \dots, m-1$ .

In Theorem 1,  $AC^m([a, b])$  denotes the class of functions  $f$  which are continuously differentiable on the segment  $[a, b]$  up to the order  $m-1$  and  $f^{(m-1)}$  is absolutely continuous on  $[a, b]$ . We note that the conditions  $(I_{a^+}^{m-\alpha}f)^{(k)}(a) = 0, k = 0, \dots, m-1$ , imply that  $f^{(k)}(a) = 0$ , for  $k = 0, \dots, m-1$  (see [4]). This conclusion implies (see formula (2.4.1) in [1]) that  $({}^C D_{a^+}^\alpha f)(x) = ({}^{RL} D_{a^+}^\alpha f)(x)$ . Removing the last condition in Theorem 1 we obtain the class of functions that admit a summable fractional derivative.

**Definition 1** (cf. [4]) A function  $f \in L_1(a, b)$  has a summable fractional derivative  $(D_{a^+}^\alpha f)(x)$  if  $(I_{a^+}^{m-\alpha}f)(x)$  belongs to  $AC^m([a, b])$ , where  $m = [\alpha] + 1$ .

If a function  $f$  admits a summable fractional derivative, then we have the following composition rules (see [4])

$$\left(I_{b^-}^\alpha {}^{RL} D_{b^-}^\alpha f\right)(x) = f(x) - \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} \frac{(b-x)^{\alpha-k-1}}{\Gamma(\alpha-k)} (I_{a^+}^{m-\alpha}f)^{(m-k-1)}(b), \quad \left(I_{a^+}^\alpha {}^C D_{a^+}^\alpha f\right)(x) = f(x) - \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} \frac{(x-a)^k}{k!} f^{(k)}(a), \quad (5)$$

with  $m = [\alpha] + 1$ . We remark that if  $f \in I_{a^+}^\alpha(L_1)$  then (5) reduce to  $(I_{a^+}^\alpha {}^C D_{a^+}^\alpha f)(x) = (I_{b^-}^\alpha {}^{RL} D_{b^-}^\alpha f)(x) = f(x)$ . Nevertheless we note that  ${}^C D_{a^+}^\alpha I_{a^+}^\alpha f = {}^{RL} D_{b^-}^\alpha I_{b^-}^\alpha f = f$  in both cases. Moreover, for  $m-1 < \alpha < m$  with  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $\beta > 0$  we have

$$I_{b^-}^\alpha (b-x)^{\beta-1} = \frac{\Gamma(\beta)}{\Gamma(\beta+\alpha)} (b-x)^{\beta+\alpha-1}, \quad {}^C D_{a^+}^\alpha (x-a)^{\beta-1} = \frac{\Gamma(\beta)}{\Gamma(\beta-\alpha)} (x-a)^{\beta-1}. \quad (6)$$

## FRACTIONAL STURM-LIOUVILLE PROBLEM IN HIGHER DIMENSIONS

Let us consider the following Riemann-Liouville fractional Sturm-Liouville equation in  $n$ -dimensions

$$- \left( {}^{RL} \nabla_{b^-}^\alpha \cdot \left( \mu(x) {}^C \nabla_{a^+}^\alpha f \right) \right)(x) = \lambda r(x) f(x) \quad (7)$$

subject to the following Dirichlet and Neuman boundary conditions

$$\beta_1^{[j]} f(x)|_{x_j=a_j} + \beta_2^{[j]} I_{b_j^-}^{1-\alpha_j} \left( \mu {}^C \nabla_{a_j^+}^{\alpha_j} f \right)(x)|_{x_j=a_j} = 0, \quad \beta_3^{[j]} f(x)|_{x_j=b_j} + \beta_4^{[j]} I_{b_j^-}^{1-\alpha_j} \left( \mu {}^C \nabla_{a_j^+}^{\alpha_j} f \right)(x)|_{x_j=b_j} = 0, \quad (8)$$

where  $j = 1, \dots, n$ ,  $x \in \Omega = \prod_{i=1}^n [a_i, b_i] \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ , “ $\cdot$ ” is the scalar product between two vectors in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , and  $\mu, r$  are positive continuous scalar functions defined on  $\Omega$ . Moreover

- ${}^{RL} \nabla_{b^-}^\alpha$  and  ${}^C \nabla_{a^+}^\alpha$  are, respectively, the right Riemann-Liouville and left Caputo fractional gradient operators of order  $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)$  given by  ${}^{RL} \nabla_{b^-}^\alpha = \sum_{i=1}^n e_i {}^{RL} \nabla_{b_i^-}^{\alpha_i}$  and  ${}^C \nabla_{a^+}^\alpha = \sum_{i=1}^n e_i {}^C \nabla_{a_i^+}^{\alpha_i}$ , where for  $i = 1, \dots, n$ ,  $e_i$  denotes the standard unit vector in the direction of  $x_i$ , and the partial derivatives  ${}^{RL} \nabla_{b_i^-}^{\alpha_i}$ ,  ${}^C \nabla_{a_i^+}^{\alpha_i}$  are the right Riemann-Liouville and left Caputo fractional derivatives of order  $\alpha_i \in ]\frac{1}{2}, 1]$  with respect to the variable  $x_i \in ]a_i, b_i[$ ;
- $I_{b_j^-}^{1-\alpha_j}$  denotes the right Riemann-Liouville fractional integral of order  $1 - \alpha_j$  with respect to the variable  $x_j \in ]a_j, b_j[$ , where  $\alpha_j \in ]\frac{1}{2}, 1]$  and  $j = 1, \dots, n$ ;
- the values of  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$  for which there exists non-trivial solutions  $f(x) \in I_{a^+}^{\alpha_j}(L_p(\Omega))$ , with  $p > 1$  and  $j = 1, \dots, n$ , are called the eigenvalues of the problem.

We remark that  $L_p(\Omega) \subset L_1(\Omega)$ , for  $p > 1$ , then since  $f(x) \in I_{a^+}^{\alpha_j}(L_p(\Omega))$  we have that  $f(x) \in I_{a^+}^{\alpha_j}(L_1(\Omega))$ , for every  $j = 1, \dots, n$ . Therefore, from Theorem 1 we conclude that  $f(x)|_{x_j=a_j} = 0$ . Let us define the fractional Sturm-Liouville operator  ${}^{RL} L^\alpha$  associated to problem (7)-(8) as  ${}^{RL} L^\alpha := -{}^{RL} \nabla_{b^-}^\alpha \cdot (\mu {}^C \nabla_{a^+}^\alpha)$ . This operator can be seen as a fractional differential operator of second order since  $\alpha_i \in ]\frac{1}{2}, 1]$ , for every  $i = 1, \dots, n$ . Moreover, in the special case of  $\alpha = (1, \dots, 1)$  and  $\mu(x) = 1$  we recover the Euclidean Laplace operator. Following the same reasoning of the proof of Theorems 3.1, 3.2, and 3.3 in [3] we have, respectively, the following results:

**Theorem 2** Let  $\alpha^* = \min_{1 \leq i \leq n} \{\alpha_i\}$ ,  $p \geq 1$ ,  $q \geq 1$  and  $\frac{1}{q} + \frac{1}{p} \leq 1 + \alpha^*$  ( $p \neq 1$  and  $q \neq 1$  in the case  $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1 + \alpha^*$ ). If  $h \in I_{b-}^{\alpha} (L_p)$  and  $\mu(x) {}^C\nabla_{a+}^{\alpha} g(x) \in I_{a+}^{\alpha} (L_p)$ , then  $\int_{\Omega} h(x) {}^{RL}C_{b-}^{\alpha} g(x) dx = \int_{\Omega} g(x) {}^{RL}C_{a+}^{\alpha} h(x) dx$ .

**Theorem 3** All the eigenvalues of the fractional Sturm-Liouville problem (7)-(8) are real.

**Theorem 4** If  $f$  and  $g$  are two eigenfunctions of the fractional Sturm-Liouville problem (7)-(8) corresponding to the eigenvalues  $\lambda_1$  and  $\lambda_2$ , respectively, with  $\lambda_1 \neq \lambda_2$ , then the eigenfunctions corresponding to different eigenvalues are orthogonal with respect to the weight function  $r$ , i.e.,  $\int_{\Omega} r(x) f(x) g(x) dx = 0$ .

Now, as it was done in [3], we prove under which conditions we have that for each eigenvalue corresponds only one linearly independent eigenfunction, up to a constant. Let  $\lambda$  be an eigenvalue of the fractional Sturm-Liouville problem (7)-(8) and  $f$  the eigenfunction associated to it. For the equation in (7) we have

$$-\left({}^{RL}\nabla_{b-}^{\alpha} \cdot \left(\mu {}^C\nabla_{a+}^{\alpha} f\right)\right)(x) = \lambda r(x) f(x) \Leftrightarrow \sum_{i=1}^n {}^{RL}C_{b_i-}^{\alpha_i} \left(\mu(x) {}^C\nabla_{a_i+}^{\alpha_i} f(x)\right) = -\lambda r(x) f(x). \quad (9)$$

In order to incorporate (9) and the boundary conditions defined in (8) in a single equation, we need to apply fractional integral operators to (9). Applying firstly  $I_{b_j-}^{\alpha_j}$  and secondly  $I_{a_j+}^{\alpha_j}$ , taking into account (5), and making straightforward calculations, we get that (9) is equivalent to

$$\begin{aligned} f(x) &= (x_j - a_j) \xi_1^{[j]}|_{x_j=a_j} + \xi_2^{[j]}|_{x_j=b_j} I_{a_j+}^{\alpha_j} \left( \frac{(b_j - x_j)^{\alpha_j-1}}{\mu(x) \Gamma(\alpha_j)} \right) \\ &+ \sum_{\substack{i=1 \\ i \neq j}}^n I_{a_i+}^{\alpha_i} \left( \frac{1}{\mu(x)} I_{b_j-}^{\alpha_j} {}^{RL}C_{b_i-}^{\alpha_i} \left( \mu(x) {}^C\nabla_{a_i+}^{\alpha_i} f(x) \right) \right) - \lambda I_{a_j+}^{\alpha_j} \left( \frac{1}{\mu(x)} I_{b_j-}^{\alpha_j} (r(x) f(x)) \right), \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

where the constants  $\xi_1^{[j]}|_{x_j=a_j}$  and  $\xi_2^{[j]}|_{x_j=b_j}$  with respect to the variable  $x_j$  are given by  $\xi_1^{[j]}|_{x_j=a_j} = f'(x)|_{x_j=a_j}$  and  $\xi_2^{[j]}|_{x_j=b_j} = I_{b_j-}^{1-\alpha_j} \left( \mu(x) {}^C\nabla_{a_i+}^{\alpha_i} f(x) \right)|_{x_j=b_j}$ . Applying the operator  $I_{b_j-}^{1-\alpha_j} \left( \mu(x) {}^C\nabla_{a_j+}^{\alpha_j} \right)$  to both sides of (10) and taking into account the relations (6), we obtain that (10) is equivalent to

$$\xi_2^{[j]}|_{x_j=b_j} = I_{b_j-}^{1-\alpha_j} \left( \mu(x) {}^C\nabla_{a_j+}^{\alpha_j} f(x) \right) - \xi_1^{[j]}|_{x_j=a_j} \frac{1}{\Gamma(2-\alpha_j)} I_{b_j-}^{1-\alpha_j} \left( \mu(x) (x_j - a_j) \right) - \sum_{\substack{i=1 \\ i \neq j}}^n I_{b_j-}^{1-\alpha_j} {}^{RL}C_{b_i-}^{\alpha_i} \left( \mu(x) {}^C\nabla_{a_i+}^{\alpha_i} f(x) \right) + \lambda I_{b_j-}^1 (r(x) f(x)). \quad (11)$$

Considering now the first boundary condition (8) and the fact that

$$f(x) \Big|_{x_j=a_j} = 0, \text{ we have that } I_{b_j-}^{1-\alpha_j} \left( \mu(x) {}^C\nabla_{a_i+}^{\alpha_i} f(x) \right) \Big|_{x_j=a_j} =$$

0. Combining the previous conclusion and (11) (with  $x_j = a_j$ ), we get

$$\xi_2^{[j]}|_{x_j=b_j} = \lambda I_{b_j-}^1 (r(x) f(x)) - \sum_{\substack{i=1 \\ i \neq j}}^n I_{b_j-}^{1-\alpha_j} {}^{RL}C_{b_i-}^{\alpha_i} \left( \mu(x) {}^C\nabla_{a_i+}^{\alpha_i} f(x) \right) - \xi_1^{[j]}|_{x_j=a_j} \frac{1}{\Gamma(2-\alpha_j)} I_{b_j-}^{1-\alpha_j} \left( \mu(x) (x_j - a_j) \right) \Big|_{x_j=a_j}, \quad (12)$$

where  $\xi_1^{[j]}|_{x_j=a_j}$  comes from the second boundary condition. Taking into account (12) and (10) with  $x_j = b_j$ , the second boundary condition in (8) leads to

$$\begin{aligned} \xi_1^{[j]}|_{x_j=a_j} &= \frac{\Gamma(2-\alpha_j) (b_j - a_j)^{\alpha_j-1}}{\Gamma(2-\alpha_j) (b_j - a_j)^{\alpha_j-1} - \Gamma(\alpha_j) I_{b_j-}^{1-\alpha_j} \left( \mu(x) (x_j - a_j) \right) \Big|_{x_j=a_j}} \left[ - \sum_{\substack{i=1 \\ i \neq j}}^n I_{a_i+}^{\alpha_i} \left( \frac{1}{\mu(x)} I_{b_j-}^{\alpha_j} {}^{RL}C_{b_i-}^{\alpha_i} \left( \mu(x) {}^C\nabla_{a_i+}^{\alpha_i} f(x) \right) \right) \Big|_{x_j=b_j} \right. \\ &\left. + \left( -\lambda I_{b_j-}^1 (r(x) f(x)) + \sum_{\substack{i=1 \\ i \neq j}}^n I_{b_j-}^{1-\alpha_j} {}^{RL}C_{b_i-}^{\alpha_i} \left( \mu(x) {}^C\nabla_{a_i+}^{\alpha_i} f(x) \right) \right) I_{a_j+}^{\alpha_j} \left( \frac{(b_j - x_j)^{\alpha_j-1}}{\mu(x) \Gamma(\alpha_j)} \right) \Big|_{x_j=b_j} + \lambda I_{a_j+}^{\alpha_j} \left( \frac{1}{\mu(x)} I_{b_j-}^{\alpha_j} (r(x) f(x)) \right) \Big|_{x_j=b_j} \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

Summing up each member of (10) from  $j = 1, \dots, n$  we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} f(x) &= \sum_{j=1}^n \left\{ (x_j - a_j) \xi_1^{[j]}|_{x_j=a_j} + \xi_2^{[j]}|_{x_j=b_j} I_{a_j+}^{\alpha_j} \left( \frac{(b_j - x_j)^{\alpha_j-1}}{\mu(x) \Gamma(\alpha_j)} \right) \right. \\ &\left. + \sum_{\substack{i=1 \\ i \neq j}}^n I_{a_i+}^{\alpha_i} \left( \frac{1}{\mu(x)} I_{b_j-}^{\alpha_j} {}^{RL}C_{b_i-}^{\alpha_i} \left( \mu(x) {}^C\nabla_{a_i+}^{\alpha_i} f(x) \right) \right) - \lambda I_{a_j+}^{\alpha_j} \left( \frac{1}{\mu(x)} I_{b_j-}^{\alpha_j} (r(x) f(x)) \right) \right\}, \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

where  $\xi_1^{[j]}|_{x_j=a_j}$  and  $\xi_2^{[j]}|_{x_j=b_j}$  are given by (13) and (12), respectively. We can consider (14) as a fixed point condition on the function space  $C(\Omega)$  of the form  $f = Tf$ , where  $Tf$  is the right-hand side of (14). Now we calculate the norm of the difference between  $Tf$  and  $Tg$  for  $f, g \in C(\Omega)$ .

$$\|Tf - Tg\| \leq \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n (\|T_1f - T_1g\| + \|T_2f - T_2g\| + \|T_3f - T_3g\| + \|T_4f - T_4g\|), \quad (15)$$

where each term inside the sum in (15) is associated with a term in (14). In similar way as it was done in [3], we have the following estimated for the four terms inside the sum in (15)

$$\|T_1f - T_1g\| \leq \|\phi_7\| \left[ \left( \frac{\lambda \|r\| \|\phi_3\|}{n^2} + \|\phi_4\| M_\mu \right) \|\phi_2|_{x_j=b_j}\| + \frac{M_\mu}{m_\mu} \left\| \phi_5|_{x_j=a_j} \right\| + \frac{\lambda \|r\| \|\phi_6\|}{n^2 m_\mu} \right] \|f - g\|, \quad (16)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \|T_2f - T_2g\| &\leq \|\phi_2\| \left[ \frac{\lambda \|r\| \|\phi_3\|}{n^2} + \|\phi_4\| M_\mu \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \|\phi_1\| \left[ \left( \frac{\lambda \|r\| \|\phi_3\|}{n^2} + \|\phi_4\| M_\mu \right) \|\phi_2|_{x_j=b_j}\| + \frac{M_\mu}{m_\mu} \left\| \phi_5|_{x_j=a_j} \right\| + \frac{\lambda \|r\| \|\phi_6\|}{n^2 m_\mu} \right] \right] \|f - g\| \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

$$\|T_3f - T_3g\| \leq \frac{\|\phi_5\| M_\mu}{m_\mu} \|f - g\|, \quad \|T_4f - T_4g\| \leq \frac{\lambda \|r\| \|\phi_6\|}{n^2 m_\mu} \|f - g\|. \quad (18)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_1(x) &= \frac{\Gamma(2-\alpha_j)(b_j-a_j)^{\alpha_j-1}}{\Gamma(2-\alpha_j)(b_j-a_j)^{\alpha_j-1} - \Gamma(\alpha_j)I_{b_j^-}^{1-\alpha_j}(\mu(x)(x_j-a_j))|_{x_j=a_j}}, \quad \phi_2(x_j) = I_{a_j^+}^{\alpha_j} \frac{(b_j-x_j)^{\alpha_j-1}}{\mu(x)\Gamma(\alpha_j)}, \quad \phi_3(x) = I_{b_j^-}^1 1, \\ \phi_4(x) &= \sum_{i \neq j}^n I_{b_j^-}^1 I_{b_i^-}^{RL} \partial_{x_i}^{\alpha_i} I_{a_i^+}^{RL} \partial_{x_i}^{\alpha_i} 1, \quad \phi_5(x) = I_{a_j^+}^{\alpha_j} I_{b_j^-}^{\alpha_j} \sum_{i \neq j}^n I_{b_i^-}^{RL} \partial_{x_i}^{\alpha_i} I_{a_i^+}^{RL} \partial_{x_i}^{\alpha_i} 1, \quad \phi_6(x) = I_{a_i^+}^{\alpha_i} I_{b_i^-}^{\alpha_i} 1, \\ \phi_7(x_j) &= (x_j - a_j) \phi_1, \quad M_\mu = \max_{x \in \Omega} |\mu(x)|, \quad m_\mu = \min_{x \in \Omega} |\mu(x)|. \end{aligned} \quad (19)$$

From (16), (17), and (18), expression (15) becomes  $\|Tf - Tg\| \leq \phi_8 \|f - g\|$ , where

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_8 &= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n \left[ \|\phi_7\| \left[ \left( \frac{\lambda \|r\| \|\phi_3\|}{n^2} + \|\phi_4\| M_\mu \right) \|\phi_2|_{x_j=b_j}\| + \frac{M_\mu}{m_\mu} \left\| \phi_5|_{x_j=a_j} \right\| + \frac{\lambda \|r\| \|\phi_6\|}{n^2 m_\mu} \right] \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \|\phi_2\| \left[ \frac{\lambda \|r\| \|\phi_3\|}{n^2} + \|\phi_4\| M_\mu + \|\phi_1\| \left[ \left( \frac{\lambda \|r\| \|\phi_3\|}{n^2} + \|\phi_4\| M_\mu \right) \|\phi_2|_{x_j=b_j}\| + \frac{M_\mu}{m_\mu} \left\| \phi_5|_{x_j=a_j} \right\| + \frac{\lambda \|r\| \|\phi_6\|}{n^2 m_\mu} \right] \right] \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{\|\phi_5\| M_\mu}{m_\mu} + \frac{\lambda \|r\| \|\phi_6\|}{n^2 m_\mu} \right], \end{aligned}$$

and  $\phi_i$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, 7$ ,  $M_\mu$  and  $m_\mu$  are given in (19). Under the assumption that  $\phi_8 < 1$  we have that  $T$  is a contraction on the space  $C(\Omega)$  for a chosen norm. Therefore, the unique fixed point  $f$  exists, up to a constant, and solve (7)-(8).

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The work of the authors was supported by Portuguese funds through CIDMA-Center for Research and Development in Mathematics and Applications, and FCT-Fundação para a Ciência e a Tecnologia, within projects UIDB/04106/2020 and UIDP/04106/2020. N. Vieira was also supported by FCT via the 2018 FCT program of Stimulus of Scientific Employment - Individual Support (Ref: CEECIND/01131/2018).

## REFERENCES

1. A.A. Kilbas, H.M. Srivastava and J.J. Trujillo, *Theory and applications of fractional differential equations*, North-Holland Mathematics Studies-Vol.204, Elsevier, Amsterdam, 2006.
2. M. Klimek, M. Ciesielski and T. Błaszczak, *Exact and numerical solutions of the fractional Sturm-Liouville problem*, *Fract. Calc. Appl. Anal.*, **21**-No.1, (2018), 45–71.
3. M. Ferreira, M.M. Rodrigues and N. Vieira, *A fractional analysis in higher dimensions for the Sturm-Liouville problem*, *Fract. Calc. Appl. Anal.*, **24**(2) (2021), 585–620.
4. S.G. Samko, A.A. Kilbas and O.I. Marichev, *Fractional integrals and derivatives: theory and applications*, Gordon and Breach, New York, NY, 1993.