Is the International Physical Activity Questionnaire (IPAQ-sf) valid to assess physical activity in patients with COPD? Comparison with accelerometer data

Joana Cruz1,2, Cristina Jácome2,3, Alda Marques2,4

1Health Research Unit (UIS), School of Health Sciences, Polytechnic Institute of Leiria (ESSLei), Leiria, Portugal.
2Respiratory Research and Rehabilitation Laboratory (Lab3R), School of Health Sciences, University of Aveiro (ESSUA), Aveiro, Portugal.
3Center for Health Technologies and Information Systems Research (CINTESIS), Faculty of Medicine, University of Porto, Portugal.
4Institute of Biomedicine (iBiMED), University of Aveiro, Aveiro, Portugal.

Topic: Health Assessment and Intervention

Introduction: The International Physical Activity Questionnaire short form (IPAQ-sf) is primarily designed for physical activity (PA) surveillance, presenting good psychometric properties in people with an age range of 15-69 years. However, studies conducted in older people have shown conflicting results, suggesting that it may not be adequate for this population. Therefore, the use of the IPAQ-sf for the assessment of PA in patients with chronic conditions such as chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD), in which patients are frequently older, remains unclear.

Objective: To preliminarily evaluate the validity and test-retest reliability of the IPAQ-sf in patients with COPD.

Methods: This exploratory cross-sectional study included 10 patients with COPD (71.6±7.3 years old, 7 male, FEV1 77.2±20.7%predicted). Participants completed the IPAQ-sf on two occasions separated by 1 week and wore an accelerometer (Actigraph GT3X+) for 7 consecutive days. The following statistical analyses were conducted: 1) Pearson’s correlation coefficient (r) to assess correlations between the results obtained from the IPAQ-sf (PA in METs-min/week; sitting time in min/day) and the accelerometer (PA: total moderate-to-vigorous physical activity [MVPA] per week and recommended MVPA per week – i.e., MVPA conducted in bouts of at least 10-min as internationally recommended1; sedentary time in min/day); 2) percentage of agreement (%agreement) and Cohen’s kappa to assess the agreement between categorical scores obtained from the two measures (i.e., ‘sufficiently’ and ‘insufficiently’ active patients); 3) Intraclass Correlation Coefficient (ICC2,1) and 95% limits of agreement (LoA) to assess test-retest reliability and agreement.

Results: Significant correlations were found between IPAQ-sf METs-min/week and total MVPA (r=0.729, p=0.017), but not between METs-min/week and recommended MVPA (r=0.346, p=0.327) or between IPAQ-sf sitting time and accelerometer-based sedentary time (r=-0.383, p=0.308). Agreement between the IPAQ-sf and accelerometer-based data in identifying ‘sufficiently’ and ‘insufficiently’ active patients was low (total MVPA: kappa=-0.538, %agreement=20%; recommended MVPA: kappa=-0.087, %agreement=50%). Test-retest reliability of the IPAQ-sf was poor to moderate (PA: ICC2,1=0.439 [-0.267→0.838]; sedentary time:
ICC_{2,1}=0.511 \([-0.178 \rightarrow 0.864]\)) and the agreement was low (PA: LoA: -10361 \rightarrow 4548 \text{ METs-min/week}; sedentary time: LoA: -194 \rightarrow 148 \text{ min/day}).

**Conclusions:** Findings suggest that the IPAQ-sf has limited validity and reliability in the assessment of PA in patients with COPD. Further research with a larger sample is needed to support these findings.
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